The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was $\underline{\text{not}}$ written for publication and is $\underline{\text{not}}$ binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 18

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte JATHAN D. EDWARDS,
WILLIAM C. MITCHELL and MARK A. ARPS

Appeal No. 2000-1244 Application 08/826,111

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

An examination of the file reveals that an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) was filed July 16, 1998 (Paper No. 8). It is not apparent from the record whether the examiner considered the statement submitted or notified appellants of why their submission did not meet the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98. A communication notifying appellants of the Primary Examiner's decision is required.

In addition, an amendment was filed by appellants on July 16, 1998 (Paper No. 9). Page 2 of the Office communication mailed August 4, 1998 (Paper No. 10) stated that "[t]he amendment

Appeal No. 2000-1244 Application 08/826,111

filed 7/22/98 [sic], will be entered." A review of the record indicates the amendment was <u>not</u> entered.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner:

- for consideration of the IDS and appropriate notification to appellants;
- 2. for entry of the amendment filed July 16, 1998 (Paper No. 9); and
 - 3. for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ву	7 :
_	DALE SHAW
	Program and Resource Administrator
	(703) 308-9797

DS:psb

Appeal No. 2000-1244 Application 08/826,111

cc: Eric D. Levinson

Imation Corp. Legal Affairs P.O. Box 64898

St. Paul, MN 55164-0898